

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 16-01650-RGK (KSx)

Date November 2, 2016

Title KARL HART v. TRANSFIELD SERVICES INFRASTRUCTURE, INC, et al.

Present: The Honorable R. GARY KLAUSNER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Sharon L. Williams

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None appearing

None appearing

**Proceedings: [In Chambers] Order to Show Cause re Dismissal re Lack of Prosecution**

The instant case was removed to the Federal District Court on March 10, 2016. A response to the complaint is due 21 days after receiving a copy of the initial pleading, 21 days after being served with the summons, or 7 days after the notice of removal is filed. See Rule 81 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

It appears a response from defendant has not been filed. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before **November 9, 2016** why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution **as to defendants Erick Prevoo; Scott Rogers and Larry Clark**. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order To Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of plaintiff's/defendant's response.

If plaintiff/defendant files

- A timely proof of service as to **defendants Erick Prevoo; Scott Rogers and Larry Clark**; and
- A timely answer by **defendants Erick Prevoo; Scott Rogers and Larry Clark**; or
- Plaintiff's application for entry of default pursuant to Rule 55a of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as to **defendants Erick Prevoo; Scott Rogers and Larry Clark**

on or before the date indicated above, the court will consider this a satisfactory response to the Order To Show Cause.